

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/825,492
 03/28/97
 HUGHES
 D
 81862.P072

LM02/060d

EXAMINER

TAREK N FAHMI BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 7TH FLOOR 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

LOS ANGELES CA 90025

HSU, A

2738

14

DATE MAILED:

06/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/825,492

Applicant(s)

HUGHES ET AL

Examiner

Alpus H. Hsu

Group Art Unit 2738

111	F PER	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🔲	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) <u>Х</u>	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date or	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The nwhich the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be sted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
X	Appel period	llant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>May 26, 2000</u> (or within any difference of Appeal filed on <u>May 26, 2000</u> (or within any difference of Appeal filed on May 26, 2000).
		nt's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 26, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The p	roposed amendment(s):
	□ w	rill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	□ w	rill not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NC	DTE:
	□ A	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	□ A	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	□ A -	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	_	
	Newl sepa	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
_	Newl sepa The a	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
_	Newl sepa The a for all	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because:
<u>×</u>	Newl sepa The a for all The a meth	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because: applicant mainly argues that Takashima does not teach the feature of including information indicative of a merging
<u>×</u>	Newl sepa The a for al The a meth The a Exam	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition flowance because: applicant mainly argues that Takashima does not teach the feature of including information indicative of a merging and by referring to pages 7-9 of spec. However, only VCI & other information used to indicate (continued from Other) affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the
	Newl sepa The a for all The a meth The a Exam	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition flowance because: applicant mainly argues that Takashima does not teach the feature of including information indicative of a merging food by referring to pages 7-9 of spec. However, only VCI & other information used to indicate (continued from Other) affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the niner in the final rejection. burposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Newl sepa The a for all The a meth The a Exam For p	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because: applicant mainly argues that Takashima does not teach the feature of including information indicative of a merging and by referring to pages 7-9 of spec. However, only VCI & other information used to indicate (continued from Other) affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the niner in the final rejection. Surposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Newl sepa The a for all The a meth The a Exam For p Claim Claim	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition flowance because: applicant mainly argues that Takashima does not teach the feature of including information indicative of a merging food by referring to pages 7-9 of spec. However, only VCI & other information used to indicate (continued from Other) affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the niner in the final rejection. burposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Newl sepa The a for all The a meth The a Exam For p Claim Claim	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because: applicant mainly argues that Takashima does not teach the feature of including information indicative of a merging and by referring to pages 7-9 of spec. However, only VCI & other information used to indicate (continued from Other) affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the niner in the final rejection. burposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): as allowed: as objected to:
	Newl sepa The a for all The a meth The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because: applicant mainly argues that Takashima does not teach the feature of including information indicative of a merging and by referring to pages 7-9 of spec. However, only VCI & other information used to indicate (continued from Other) affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the niner in the final rejection. surposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ans allowed: ans objected to: ans rejected: 1-3, 5-8, 14-16, 18-22, and 24-26
	Newl sepa The a for all The a meth The a Exam For p Claim Claim Claim The p Note	y proposed or amended claims